1

ocument 2550 88584

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 3 CIVIL ACTION NUMBER: IN RE: VALSARTAN PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION 19-md-02875 5 CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE 6 7 Mitchell H. Cohen Building & U.S. Courthouse 4th & Cooper Streets 8 Camden, New Jersey 08101 December 6, 2023 9 Commencing at 12:46 p.m. 10 THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. KUGLER BEFORE: UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 11 APPEARANCES: 12 MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC 13 BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE 103 Eisenhower Parkway 14 Roseland, New Jersey 07068 For the Plaintiffs 15 HONIK LLC 16 BY: RUBEN HONIK, ESQUIRE 1515 Market Street, Suite 1100 17 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 191032 For the Plaintiffs 18 KANNER & WHITELEY, LLC BY: CONLEE S. WHITELEY, ESQUIRE 19 701 Camp Street 20 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 For the Plaintiffs 21 22 Ann Marie Mitchell, Official Court Reporter AnnMarie Mitchell@njd.uscourts.gov 23 (856) 576-7018 24 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription. 25

```
1
    APPEARANCES (Continued):
 2
         NIGH GOLDENBERG RASO & VAUGHN
         BY: DANIEL A. NIGH, ESQUIRE
 3
         1333 College Parkway, #1049
         Gulf Breeze, Florida 32563
 4
         For the Plaintiffs
 5
         KIRTLAND & PACKARD LLP
 6
         BY: BEHRAM V. PAREKH, ESQUIRE
         1638 South Pacific Coast Highway
 7
         Redondo Beach, California 90277
         For the Plaintiffs
 8
 9
         GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
         BY: STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQUIRE
10
         3333 Piedmont Road, NE, Suite 2500
         Atlanta, Georgia 30305
11
12
              GREGORY E. OSTFELD,
                                  ESQUIRE
         77 West Wacker Drive, Suite 3100
13
         Chicago, Illinois 60601
         For the Defendants, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.,
14
         Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Actavis LLC,
         and Actavis Pharma, Inc.
15
16
    ALSO PRESENT:
17
         LORETTA SMITH, ESQUIRE
         Judicial Law Clerk to The Honorable Robert B. Kugler
18
         Larry MacStravic, Courtroom Deputy
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
             (PROCEEDINGS held in open court before The Honorable
 2
    ROBERT B. KUGLER at 12:46 p.m.)
 3
             THE COURT: Good afternoon. Have a seat.
 4
             Happy holidays, everybody.
 5
             RESPONSE: Good afternoon.
 6
             THE COURT: Okay. There doesn't seem to be much on
 7
    the agenda today.
 8
             Why don't we start with the orders to show cause.
 9
             Apparently we're not dismissing any cases today; is
10
    that correct?
11
             MR. HARKINS: That's correct, Your Honor. Two cases,
12
    Berkovski and Parks will be withdrawn. Then we'll ask to
1.3
    extend the orders to show cause in Samocha, Andrews and Harris
14
    to the next case management conference.
15
             THE COURT: Okay. Igor Berkovski and Janice Parks,
16
    those orders to show cause will be dismissed.
17
             And the Estate of Alexandra Samocha, Benjamin Andrews
18
    and the Estate of Pauline Harris, they'll be relisted for the
19
    next meeting.
20
             And you have four you want to list for orders to show
21
    cause.
22
             Any updates on those?
23
             MR. HARKINS: No updates there, Your Honor. We'll
24
    ask for orders to show cause returnable at the next case
25
    management conference in each of those four cases.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: Ocie Mae Haughton, Mary Hines, Barbara
 2
    Kaluhiokalani and Robert Kennedy, anybody want to speak on
    behalf of any of those four plaintiffs?
 3
 4
             (No response.)
 5
             THE COURT: They'll be listed for orders to show
 6
    cause.
 7
             And you have six you want to relist.
 8
             Any update on those?
 9
             MR. HARKINS: Just one update, Your Honor.
10
    identified number 5, the Parks case, as a duplicate. We'll
11
    remove that from our listing going forward.
12
             THE COURT: Oh, Janice Parks. Okay.
1.3
             So then Fershter, Blanchard, Miller, Davidson,
14
    Mantalis will all be listed again for a second listing.
15
             MR. HARKINS: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
             THE COURT: Anything else on these?
17
             MR. HARKINS: Nothing further.
18
             THE COURT: Great.
19
             I was given a proposed Case Management Order 32B
20
    amending 32. I just got that in.
21
             Anybody have any comments on that?
22
             MR. OSTFELD: Greg Ostfeld, Your Honor.
23
             This is just -- this order addresses the issues that
24
    were discussed at the last case management conference, the
25
    answer deadline, the motion for summary judgment deadlines,
```

```
1
    and the supplemental damages expert issue.
 2
             We're ready to address any questions the Court has,
 3
    or if you haven't had an opportunity to review it -- I know we
 4
    got it to you very shortly before the conference -- I'm also
 5
    happy to summarize it for the Court.
 6
             THE COURT: Well, why don't you summarize it.
 7
    mean, I have it and I've read it, but let's summarize it for
    the record.
 8
 9
             And then I'll hear if there's any objections.
10
             MR. OSTFELD: All right, Your Honor. With respect to
11
    answers, the parties are agreed that answers will be filed as
12
    to the TPP trial defendants on the TPP trial claims by
1.3
    December 7, 2023. That's tomorrow.
14
             Motions for summary judgment opening briefs will be
15
    due two weeks after that, on December 21st, with oppositions
16
    on January 22nd and replies on January 31st.
17
             As in the past, we've proposed some adjustments to
18
    the page limits to account for the fact that there are
19
    multiple defendants, to try to reduce the total page count by
20
    having omnibus briefs that are a little longer and then
21
    defendant-specific briefs that are much shorter than the local
22
    rules otherwise provide.
23
             And then as to supplemental damages experts, the
24
    parties were able to agree that it was appropriate to have
```

supplemental expert reports based on the pharmacy data.

25

```
1
    plaintiffs have already served their supplemental expert
 2
    report as of December 1. Defendants will have theirs ready by
 3
    December 29th.
 4
             And then we're going to meet and confer, and we will
 5
    propose dates to the Court for supplemental depositions and
    Rule 702 briefing to the Court. We've anticipated by December
    15th we'll have a proposal to the Court on that.
 8
             THE COURT: Okay. I assume plaintiffs are okay with
 9
    this?
10
             MS. WHITELEY: We are, Your Honor. We reached an
11
    agreement.
12
             THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll enter the order today,
1.3
    get that taken care of.
14
             All right. What else? We'll start with the
15
    plaintiffs. Anything else you want to talk about today?
16
             MR. SLATER: I don't think we have any other issues
17
    to raise today, Your Honor. We're just -- we're moving along.
18
             We've been serving designations on the defense, as we
19
    said at the last conference. We've started to broach the
20
    subject of stipulations, but it seemed to make sense to get
21
    those opening briefs exchanged and then start to talk about
22
    whether there might be some commonalities and issues we can
23
    stipulate to.
24
             Other than that, we're getting ready to get these
25
    briefs to Your Honor on the summary judgment motions.
```

```
1
             THE COURT: I presume that everyone will stipulate to
 2
    the authenticity of all these business records?
 3
             MR. SLATER: I would think so.
 4
             THE COURT: I don't want to waste trial with records
 5
    custodians.
 6
             Anything from the defense?
 7
             MR. OSTFELD: Nothing from defense, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: Wow, it's amazing. Remarkable. Thank
 9
    you.
10
             All right. Then, have a great holiday.
11
             We need a date for the next meeting. Oh, boy.
                                                             This
12
    is going to be a problem.
1.3
             I propose we do the next one by Zoom so you don't all
14
    have to come in.
15
             How about January 4th, which is a Thursday?
16
             MR. SLATER: Good with me.
17
             THE COURT: Since we're going to do it by -- well, we
18
    have some people -- do we still have people from the West
19
    Coast?
20
             MR. HONIK: A few.
21
             MR. SLATER: They're still hanging on.
22
             MR. PAREKH: Yes, Your Honor. Just one.
23
             THE COURT: Who cares about it then.
24
             All right. Let's do it on the 4th then at 1:00 p.m.,
25
    how is that? It will be by Zoom.
```

```
1
             And I assume no one objects to a Zoom. We've lost
 2
    our statutory authority to do them automatically, so
 3
    technically you all have to agree. But I think it's
    preferable than having to drag you all into New Jersey.
 5
             MR. SLATER: No objection from the plaintiffs, Your
 6
    Honor.
 7
             MR. OSTFELD: No objection from defense, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. Well, have a great holiday,
 9
    everybody.
10
             RESPONSE: Thank you. You as well, Judge.
11
             (Proceedings concluded at 12:53 p.m.)
12
1.3
             I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
14
    from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
15
    /S/ Ann Marie Mitchell
                                   7th day of December, 2023
16
    Court Reporter/Transcriber
                                  Date
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```